



#### jOiNEd For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient communities in WB and EU

WP2: Task 2.4 Analysis of questionnaires

Online Project Meeting University of Tirana

> Date: 29 February 2024 Place: Online Meeting



Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EACEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them."



# Overview of the Task 2.4

- Two types of data collection methods are used:
- 1. Questionnaire for business sector- University of Tirana

 Interviews with public institutions representatives – University of Tirana and UPT





## Data collection phase- Albania

- The survey was conducted face to face interviews with business representatives.
- Recruited a high-level representative of the business, such as the owners or a general manger, human resources manager, or chief financial officer.
- A quota sampling technique was used to determine a representative number of businesses which in each industry.
- We sought to include in the study at least 0.5% of companies in every sector.
- More than 90% of the participating companies were in the capital, Tirana, which is Albania's powerhouse.
- The survey dataset was cleaned to eliminate duplicate, incomplete, or erroneous entries (123 in total).
- The final sample consisted of 478 observations, which will be analyzed further.





## Sample



Sectors	No. of active companies*	No. of companies partaking in study	% of companies partaking in study
Producers of goods	6,246	34	0.54%
Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	217	1	0.46%
Industry	3,233	18	0.56%
Construction	2,796	16	0.57%
Service providers	48,395	266	0.55%
Commerce	17,590	97	0.55%
Transport and storage	2,155	12	0.56%
Accommodation and food services	6,153	34	0.55%
Information and communication	2,963	16	0.54%
Other services	19,534	107	0.55%
Total	109,282	601	0.55%





## Descriptive analysis



Main characteristics of study sample (N=478)

Variables	Descriptive statistics	
Average years in operation	12.92	
Average number of employees per company	74.22	
Female-led companies	102 (20%)	
	limited liability 236 (49%)	
Legal status/form of company	joint stock 48 (10%)	
	small businesses 194 (40%)	
Cize of company	large 277 (55%)*	
Size of company	small & medium 222 (45%)	





### **Descriptive analysis**

		٠	٠	٠	٠		
	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	
,	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	κ.
٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•
٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
۲	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•
	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	
		٠	٠	٠	٠		

	Mean (%)		
Companies that:	All companies	Large corporations	Gap
Have adopted a national/international environmental certification program	21.0%	79.1%	58.1%
Have a detailed plan to reduce the environmental impacts	22.4%	68.8%	46.4%
Designate an employee/team/structure for environmental management	27.1%	63.7%	36.6%
Collaborate with other businesses for environmental management	27.3%	66.9%	39.6%
Estimate the quantity of solid waste discharged	27.5%	70.8%	43.3%
Estimate the quantity of hazardous waste discharged	28.5%	69.7%	41.2%
Send delegates to environmental conferences/activities	29.3%	69.2%	39.9%
Hold staff meetings to address environmental issues	31.1%	67.1%	36.0%
Have an earmarked budget for adoption of green practices	31.1%	66.5%	35.4%
Estimate the level of noise emissions	34.1%	69.4%	35.3%
Publicize information about their environmental impact	35.5%	63.8%	28.3%
Have a green transport plan/procedure (for staff, customers, freight)	40.1%	72.0%	31.9%
Conduct environmental management training for staff	42.9%	66.8%	23.9%
Engage in community-based environmental initiatives	44.5%	64.9%	20.4%
Inform customers about their environmental effects	50.9%	59.1%	8.2%
Implement measures to protect the environment	56.3%	57.5%	1.2%
Estimate the level of energy consumption/savings/losses	67.1%	61.2%	-5.9%
Implement measures to reduce pollution	81.0%	59.9%	-21.1%

unded by the pean Union

\*The top three figures are highlighted in each data column.



### Company representatives' views on green business practices

Category	Green business measures	Likert score (mean)*
Ê	Separating hazardous waste	3.45
Waste	Reusing or processing food waste	2.63
	Reusing or processing organic waste	1.52
2	Buying raw materials that can be easily reused or recycled	2.28
Recycling	Encouraging reuse or recycling to customers	2.41
	Cooperating with recycling enterprises to fulfil recycling needs	3.27
2	Using energy-saving materials	2.76
Materials	Avoiding plastic materials or raw materials	2.45
	Choosing high energy performance buildings built with green materials / methods	2.88
e	Using on- or off-site wastewater treatment systems, or septic systems	2.23
Water	Discharging wastewater into the surrounding environment	2.27
Water Discharging wastewater into the surrounding environment   Using rain or snow water (recycled water)	Using rain or snow water (recycled water)	2.09
	Using recycled water for irrigation	2.73
	Using solar, wind or other renewable energy sources	2.29
Energy	Buying renewable energy from a local supplier	1.91
01	Using hybrid transport vehicles for the company	2.42
2	Providing or encouraging use or public transport for customers	3.08
Transport	Providing or encouraging use of public/alternative transport for employees	2.85
	Choosing suppliers located near company quarters	2.47
Overall op	inion on the importance of green business measures	2.66

\* Scale ranges from 1: (unimportant) to 4: (very important).

Top three scores

Bottom three scores



# Findings

- Albanian companies, notably larger ones, are embracing green initiatives like waste recycling and green transport policies.
- Internal expertise remains limited.
- Government intervention is essential, necessitating incentives and regulations.
- Incentives could include free training courses for sustainable practices.
- Regulations may involve carbon pricing and eco-liability laws.
- Transition economy considerations should prevent stifling the private sector.
- Sustainable practices are projected to enhance economic development and national well-being.











Contact info about the presenter: <u>persetagrabova@feut.edu.al</u> <u>1future@feut.edu.al</u>



Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EACEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them."