

jOiNEd For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU



## Quality Report No. 2

Prof. Meri Cvetkovska

Ss Cyril and Methodius University  
in Skopje, North Macedonia

*Date: July 2<sup>nd</sup> 2024*

*Place: Ohrid*



## Quality Report No. 2

The Quality Report is prepared by QAPT:

- **UKIM - University “Ss. Cyril and Methodius in Skopje” – N. Macedonia - Chair**
- **INCA - Institute for nature Conservation - Albania**
- **NASRI - National Agency for Scientific Research and Innovation – Albania,**
- **GNP - Civil engineering-Science and Practice, NGO, Montenegro**
- **AZUR - Association for Risk Management – Bosnia and Herzegovina**



Co-funded by the  
European Union

## Quality of 1 FUTURE events



Questionnaire is divided in 4 parts:

- Event content
- Organization
- Event results
- Event general assessment



**GOOGLE FORM**

**QUALITY CONTROL**





Co-funded by the  
European Union

**jOINED For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU**

# 1FUTURE



## **Project Management Meeting**

**Venue: Novi Sad, Serbia**

**Date: 05-06 December 2023**

**Participants: 19**




Co-funded by the  
European Union




## EVENT CONTENT


1. The content of the event is relevant to the topic.




2. The discussions were relevant for the participants.



3. The materials distributed are useful and informative




4. The event was interactive and interesting.






## EVENT CONTENT


5. The event activities provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers.



### Average grades of the event content



6. The goal of the event has been achieved.



**1. The content of the event is relevant to the topic**

**5. The event activities provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers**







## ORGANISATION



2. The communication between organisers and participants was professional.

1. The overall organisation was professional.




3. The methods of working were suitable for the topics and for the participants.

4. The event time management and length were appropriate.






5. The venue and facilities are appropriate.



### Average grades for the organisation




1. The overall organisation was professional.

4. The event time management and length were appropriate.

5. The venue and facilities are appropriate







2. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.


3. This event covered to a very high extent the topics I have expected.

4. The information I got will be of immediate use to me

### FINAL REMARKS

How would you generally mark this event?

Copy



Real grade  
**4.24**



Co-funded by the European Union

What did not work well at the event, and what should be improved by the organisers?

9 responses

-

Everything was great. If I have to say anything, then it is that I would appreciate tables in the classroom.

Every thing was great

I think maybe we can make better use of the time we spend together. Reconsider how we can spark more discussions rather than just presentations. That might need more preparation from all participants and clearer expectations. I think we should avoid speeding up the meetings to get more spare-time. We spend a lot of time, money and environmental burden to gather physically and therefore we should try to do more to discuss intensively. Just updating each other can be done online.

I have no major complaints

The meeting room was not ok,  
social dinner was very very bad organised

more interactive sessions to discuss specific points. also to work concretely on the project not only presentations which can be read back home in preparation for the event. fostering exchange by smaller working groups

Everything was just fine:)





What worked particularly well at the event and what made the event useful for you?

7 responses

-

The answers were very useful for some challenges that I was unsure about

The dialogue with participants and exchange of information and updates.

All the presentations and recommendations from each institutions

Always nice to meet friendly and nice colleagues

direct exchange of experiences and information in conversation with colleagues is very useful for the further implementation of the Project.

Communication with partners, useful advices and joint work

Any further comments?

6 responses

All in all well done!

Project coordination is going smoothly and tasks are done on time





Co-funded by the  
European Union

**JOiNEd For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU**

# 1FUTURE



## Study Visit

Venue: **Novi Sad, Serbia**

Date: **04-05 December 2023**


Participants: **18**



Co-funded by the  
European Union



## Average grades of the event content




5. The event activities provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers.

6. The goal of the event has been achieved

2. The discussions were relevant for the participants.

3. The materials distributed are useful and informative

## Average grades for the organisation



1. The overall organisation was professional.


4. The event time management and length were appropriate.

5. The venue and facilities are appropriate.





## Average grades of the event results




2. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.

4. The information I got will be of immediate use to me

How would you generally mark this event?

Copy



**Real grade**  
**4.66**





What worked particularly well at the event and what made the event useful for you?

11 responses

Cooperation between participants

New knowledges

Assesment of acitivities are alredy done.

The fruitful cooperation between the participants (colleges).

Interaction

Sharing and discussing the different experiences between participants.

The cooperation opportunities and the discussions were very useful

Useful overview of the project and progress so far.

The exchange of ideas for future projects in the region

Everything

Nice atmosphere and spirit from organizers.





What did not work well at the event, and what should be improved by the organisers?

9 responses

Nothing

None

Set up of the room was not appropriate

Everything was OK

N/A

No such thing.

Organisers should include a day tour of the city/main local attractions.

The room needed tables and round table.







Co-funded by the  
European Union

**JOiNEd For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU**

# 1FUTURE



## **Project Management Meeting**

Venue: **on-line**

Date: **29 February 2024**


Participants: **12**



Co-funded by the  
European Union




## Average grades of the event content



1. The topic was relevant for the participants.
2. The event was interactive and interesting.
3. The event provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers.
4. The goal of the event has been achieved.

## Average grade of the organisation




1. The overall organisation was professional.
2. The communication between organisers and participants was professional.
3. The methods of working were suitable for the topics and for the participants.
4. The event time management and length were appropriate.






## Average grades of the event results



1. My expectations about this event were met or exceeded.
2. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.
3. This event covered to a very high extent the topics I have expected.
4. The information I got will be of immediate use to me

## FINAL REMARKS



Real grade  
**4.87**





What worked particularly well at the event and what made the event useful for you?

5 responses

The specific information for future activities.

The discussion how to prepare the Chapter 5 of the Action Plan was really helpful

Discussions on the main tasks that needs to be completed soon.

For an online event it is always complex to answer this question but I would say the immediacy of the information.

Cominication between the participants





What did not work well at the event, and what should be improved by the organisers?

7 responses

Time management

We had some troubles with the connection and MS Teams.

Everything was ok

N/A

-

Everything was fine

The Microsoft Teams app doesn't seem appropriate for all of us. We had minor problems with it.





Co-funded by the  
European Union

**jOINED For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU**

# 1FUTURE



## Project Management Meeting

Venue: **Venice, Italy**

Date: **18-19 April 2024**


Participants: **15**



Co-funded by the  
European Union



### Average grades for the event content




1. The content of the event is relevant to the topic.

6. The goal of the event has been achieved.

3. The materials distributed are useful and informative

4. The event was interactive and interesting.

### Average grades for the organisation




2. The communication between organisers and participants was professional.

4. The event time management and length were appropriate.





## Average grade for the event results




2. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.

1. My expectations about this event were met or exceeded.

How would you generally rank this event?

Copy



**Real grade**  
**4.58**



Co-funded by the European Union





What worked particularly well at the event and what made the event useful for you?

8 responses

Presantation, teaching methods, materials

Always nice to meet and exchange ideas.

Cooperation

Everything

Everything was great

The material's presentations

I really enjoyed the workshop part, with some very interesting exchange with colleagues.

The study visit was orgabized very good from IUAV. Sharing experience with the luAv team regarding curricula revision process





What did not work well at the event, and what should be improved by the organisers?

5 responses

Nothing

Perhaps consider how to create conditions for even more discussions.

Wifi

There is nothing to add here

-

Any further comments?

2 responses

Thanks for a great meeting!

.





Co-funded by the  
European Union

**JOiNEd For sUsTainability - bUilding climate REsilient  
communities in WB and EU**

# 1FUTURE



## Study Visit

Venue: **Venice, Italy**

Date: **16-17 April 2024**


Participants: **13**



Co-funded by the  
European Union



### Average grades for the event content




2. The discussions were relevant for the participants.

3. The materials distributed are useful and informative

4. The event was interactive and interesting.

5. The event activities provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers.

### Average grade for the organisation




2. The communication between organisers and participants was professional.

4. The event time management and length were appropriate.





## Average grades for the event results




2. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.

1. My expectations about this event were met or exceeded.

How would you generally rank this event?

Copy



Real grade  
**4.70**



Co-funded by the European Union



What worked particularly well at the event and what made the event useful for you?

7 responses

Everything was great

Cooperation

Comunication

The dissemination workshop and the curricula workshop were organized very effeciently from the Lead partner

venice tour & social event

The event provided valuable opportunities for networking and learning. There were discussions about project goals and timelines. These discussions provide clarity on the project's direction and help ensure that everyone is on the same page regarding expectations and responsibilities.

Everything worked very well





What did not work well at the event, and what should be improved by the organisers?

5 responses

There is nothing to add

Everything was fine

N/A

nothing

Nothing

Any further comments?

3 responses

Thanks Victoria for very nice event!

thanks to Filippo and Vittoria

No





## Thank you for your attention

*Contact info about the presenter:*

*prof. Meri Cvetkovska*

*Faculty of civil engineering*

*Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, N. Macedonia*

*cvetkovska@gf.ukim.edu.mk*

